

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WAYMO LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
DEFENDANTS UBER  
TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND  
OTTOMOTTO LLC'S MOTION FOR  
RELIEF FROM AND EMERGENCY  
MOTION FOR STAY OF NON-  
DISPOSITIVE PRETRIAL ORDER  
OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE (DKT.  
881)**

Trial Date: October 10, 2017

1           Upon consideration of Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc. and Ottomotto LLC's  
2 (collectively, "Defendants") Motion for Relief from and Emergency Motion for Stay of Non-  
3 Dispositive Pretrial Order of Magistrate Judge (Dkt. 881) pursuant to Local Rule 72-2, and  
4 having given Plaintiff Waymo LLC ("Waymo") an opportunity to respond, the Court hereby  
5 GRANTS Defendants' Motion.

6           [IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Magistrate Judge Corley's July 12, 2017 Order Re:  
7 Waymo's Motion to Compel is STAYED with respect to Defendants' Expedited Request No. 20  
8 (Dkt. 881 at 2:6-10), pending the Court's resolution of Uber's Motion for Relief.]

9           IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Magistrate Judge Corley's July 12, 2017 Order Re:  
10 Waymo's Motion to Compel is VACATED with respect to Defendants' Expedited Request No.  
11 20 (Dkt. 881 at 2:6-10), and Plaintiff Waymo's Motion to Compel (Dkt. 682) is DENIED with  
12 respect to Defendants' Expedited Request No. 20.

13  
14           **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

15  
16 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2017

17           \_\_\_\_\_  
18 HONORABLE WILLIAM ALSUP  
19 United States District Judge  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28